

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 627 (2001) 233-238



www.elsevier.nl/locate/jorganchem

Synthesis of titanium trichloride complexes of 1,2,3-trisubstituted cyclopentadienyls and their use in styrene polymerization

Bun Yeoul Lee ^{a,*1}, Jin Wook Han ^b, Hwimin Seo ^b, In Su Lee ^b, Young Keun Chung ^{b,*2}

^a Department of Molecular Science and Technology, Ajou University, Suwon 442-749 South Korea

^b School of Chemistry and Center for Molecular Catalysis, College of Natural Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, South Korea

Received 15 June 2000; received in revised form 26 February 2001; accepted 27 February 2001

Abstract

The synthesis, characterization and styrene polymerization properties of titanium trichloride compounds (5, 1,3-dimethyl-2-*n*-butylcyclopentadienyl; **13**, 1,3-diphenyl-2-*n*-butylcyclopentadienyl; **14**, 1,3-dimethyl-2phenylcyclopentadienyl; **15**, 1,2,3-triphenylcyclopentadienyl) containing 1,2,3-trisubstituted cyclopentadienyl ligands are reported. Reactions of lithiated cyclopentadienide with Me₃SiCl followed by addition of TiCl₄ gave new titanium trichloride compounds **5** and **6**, respectively, in reasonable yields, and reactions of cyclopentadiene with Ti(NMe₂)₄ followed by Me₃SiCl or Me₂SiCl₂ gave new titanium trichloride compounds **13**–**15**, respectively, in reasonable yields. Compound **14** has been characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies: monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$; a = 15.893(2), b = 7.199(1), c = 12.812(2) Å, $\beta = 108.394(7)^\circ$, Z = 4 and V = 1390.9(3) Å³. The catalytic behavior of the new titanium compounds in styrene polymerization has been studied in the presence of excess methylaluminoxane. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cyclopentadienyl; Titanium; Styrene; Polymerization; Syndiotactic

1. Introduction

Since Ishihara et al. reported the first preparation of syndiotactic polystyrene (s-PS) using the CpTiCl₃/MAO catalyst system [1,2], a wide variety of new catalytic systems based on titanium or zirconium compounds have been synthesized and studied as s-PS catalyst [3–13]. Among those complexes, Cp*TiX₃, (indenyl)TiCl₃, and (substituted indenyl)TiCl₃ derivatives are the most active catalysts. Studies on the mechanism involved in the polymerization of styrene have also been reported [14–18].

The chemical and physical properties of cyclopentadienyl titanium complexes can be varied over a wide range by modification of the substituents on the cyclopentadienyl ring [19]. Thus, many research groups are involved in the design of ligands to tailor the catalytic activity and product properties. However, a limited range of cyclopentadienyl titanium complexes containing such ligands have been prepared and studied due to the absence of a generalized synthetic method for substituted cyclopentadiene. Recently, Takahashi et al. [20] reported the synthesis of 1,2,3-trisubstituted cyclopentadienes through the reaction of zirconacyclopentene with acid chloride in the presence of a catalytic amount of copper chloride. Several years ago, we reported [21] the general method for preparation of 1,2,3-trisubstituted cyclopentadienyls and their use in the preparation of manganese tricarbonyl and zirconium dichloride derivatives. The method can be easily extended to synthesize 1,2,3-trisubstituted cyclopentadienyl titanium trichlorides. Herein we report the syntheand characterization of 1,2,3-trisubstituted sis cyclopentadienyl titanium trichloride and their behavior in styrene polymerization.

¹*Corresponding author. Tel.: +82-2-8806662; fax: +82-2-8891598; e-mail: ykchung@plaza.snu.ac.kr

² *Corresponding author. Tel.: + 82-031-2191844; e-mail: bunyeoul@madang.ajou.ac.kr

2. Experimental

2.1. General

Reactions were carried out under argon or nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or in a glove box. Methylaluminoxane (MAO) was purchased from Akzo (6.4 wt.% of Al, MMAO type 4). Chlorotrimethylsilane, dichlorodimethylsilane, and styrene were purified by distillation over CaH₂. Hexane and toluene were purified over Na/K alloy under argon. ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-300 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were done at the National Centre for Inter-University Research Facilities, Seoul National University. T_m was measured on a Thermal Analysis 3100. Gel permeation chromatograms (GPC) were obtained at 140°C in trichlorobenzene using Waters model 150-C + GPC and the data were analyzed using a polystyrene analyzing curve. All trisubstituted cyclopentadienes 1, 2, and 7-9 were synthesized by the previously published procedures [21].

2.2. Synthesis of 5

To a solution of 1 (0.78 g, 5.19 mmol) in 10 ml of THF was added *n*-BuLi (5.19 mmol) at -78° C. The solution was slowly warmed to room temperature (r.t.). After the solution was stirred for 4 h at r.t., ClSiMe₃ (0.70 ml) was added. After the solution was stirred overnight, the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. To the residue was added 30 ml of hexane. Filtration and evaporation gave an oily compound 3.¹H-NMR $(CDCl_3)$: δ 5.86 (br s, 1 H), 2.91 (br s, 1 H), 2.28–2.25 (m, 2 H), 1.96 (s, 6 H), 1.4–1.3 (m, 4 H), 0.92 (t, 6.7 Hz, 3 H), -0.05 (s, 9 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 141.49, 139.77, 137.49, 124.79, 51.35, 32.37, 25.50, 22.86, 14.87, 14.04, -2.00 ppm. Anal. Found: C, 75.10; H, 11.31. Calc. for C₁₄H₂₆Si: C, 75.59; H, 11.78%. To 3 (0.926 g, 4.17 mmol) was added 20 ml of CH_2Cl_2 . The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ}C$. Ti Cl_4 was added to the cold solution and the resulting solution was warmed to r.t. After the solution was stirred for 4 h at r.t., all volatiles were removed at reduced pressure to give yellowish red solids 5 (1.06 g, 77%). ¹H-NMR $(CDCl_3)$: δ 6.71 (s, 2 H), 2.77 (t, 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.40 (s, 6 H), 1.5–1.3 (m, 4 H), 0.93 (t, 6.9 Hz, 3 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 141.19, 139.07, 123.74, 31.64, 28.17, 22.78, 16.20, 15.26, 13.84 ppm. HRMS m/e, M⁺, obsd. 301.9884, calc. 301.9875.

2.3. Synthesis of 6

The same procedure as for the synthesis of 5 was applied. The yield of 4 from 2 was 75%. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) of 4: δ 5.86 (br s, 1 H), 2.89 (br s, 1 H), 2.13

(br s, 6 H), 1.30 (s, 9 H), -0.05 (s, 9 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) of **4**: δ 144.16, 142.65, 136.80, 128.10, 54.13, 34.84, 31.55, 18.96, 18.56, -1.88 ppm. Red crystalline solids **6** were obtained from **4** in 80% yield. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) of **6**: δ 6.55 (s, 2 H), 2.66 (s, 6 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) of **6**: δ 149.05, 139.28, 126.63, 37.04, 30.90, 20.90 ppm. HRMS m/e, M⁺, obsd. 301.9881, calc. 301.9875.

2.4. Synthesis of 13

To a solution of 7 (0.372 g, 1.36 mmol) in 10 ml of toluene was added Ti(NMe₂)₄ (0.32 ml, 1.36 mmol). As the reaction went on, the evolution of NHMe₂ was observed. The solution was stirred at 70°C for 4 h at which time evolution of the gas ceased. All volatiles were removed at reduced pressure to give a red oily compound 10. As ClSiMe₃ (5 ml) was added to 10 at r.t., the solution changed to deep red. The resulting solution was heated to 50°C for 18 h. After the solution was cooled to r.t., the solution was evaporated to dryness. Hexane (20 ml) was added to the residue and the solution was filtered. The clear solution was kept in a refrigerator (at -30° C). Reddish crystalline solids were deposited. The isolated yield of 13 was 40% (0.23) g). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.7–7.3 (m, 10 H), 7.29 (s, 2 H), 3.31 (t, 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.1-0.9 (m, 4 H), 0.57 (t, 7.1 Hz, 3 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 141.68, 138.09, 133.79, 129.31, 129.04, 128.75, 122.95, 30.72, 27.77, 22.17, 13.34 ppm. Anal. Found: C, 59.16; H, 4.20. Calc. for $C_{21}H_{21}Cl_{3}Ti$: C, 58.98; H, 4.95%. HRMS m/e, M⁺ obsd. 426.0204, calc. 426.0188.

2.5. Synthesis of 14

To a solution of 8 (0.276 g, 1.62 mmol) in 5 ml of toluene was added Ti(NMe₂)₄ (0.38 ml, 1.62 mmol). The solution was heated at 50°C for 18 h. Removal of all the volatiles gave 11. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.4–7.2 (m, 5 H), 5.84 (s, 2 H), 3.01 (s, 18 H), 2.15 (s, 6 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 136.31, 130.60, 127.93, 125.73, 124.55, 121.99, 108.76, 48.78, 14.51 ppm. As Cl_2SiMe_2 (10 ml) was added to 11 at r.t., the solution changed to deep red. The resulting solution was heated to 70°C for 3 h. All the volatiles were evaporated and the residue was extracted with 40 ml of hot hexane. The hexane solution was filtered and cooled to r.t. to precipitate the product. Red crystalline solids 14 were isolated (0.388 g, 74%). Single crystals of 14 suitable for X-ray study were grown in hexane at r.t. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.5-7.3 (m, 5 H), 6.57 (s, 2 H), 2.46 (s, 6 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 138.02, 136.88, 132.42, 130.25, 128.37, 128.31, 121.98, 16.46 ppm. Anal. Found: C, 48.27; H, 4.05. Calc. for C₁₃H₁₃Cl₃Ti: C, 48.27; H, 3.81%.

2.6. Synthesis of 15

The same procedure as for the synthesis of **14** was applied. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) of **12**: δ 7.4–7.3 (m, 5 H), 7.1–7.0 (m, 9 H), 6.41 (s, 2 H), 3.03 (s, 18 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) of **12**: δ 137.21, 137.07, 132.51, 129.14, 128.32, 128.14, 128.00, 127.68, 126.98, 126.36, 124.74, 49.73 ppm. The yield of **15** from **12** was 50%. Compound **15** was purified by recrystallization in toluene at – 30°C. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.4–7.2 (m, 6 H), 7.0–6.8 (m, 9 H), 6.75 (s, 2 H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 141.01, 135.86, 133.31, 132.72, 131.85, 129.81, 129.41, 128.58, 128.32, 122.42 ppm. Anal. Found: C, 61.58; H, 3.83. Calc. for C₂₃H₁₇Cl₃Ti: C, 61.72; H, 3.83%.

2.7. Polymerization

To a Schlenk flask was added successively toluene (40 ml), styrene (5.0 ml), and MAO (5.0 ml, 10 mmol of Al). The solution was immersed into a preheated oil bath (at 50, 75, and 100°C). After the solution was stirred for 10 min, a catalyst (2.5 μ mol in toluene solution) was added with vigorous stirring. After 10 min, 50% HCl in methanol solution (20 ml) was carefully added via a syringe. As the reaction mixture was

 Table 1

 X-ray data collection and structure refinement for 14

| Formula | C ₁₃ H ₁₃ Cl ₃ Ti |
|---|--|
| Formula weight | 323.48 |
| Temperature (K) | 294(2) |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P2_1/c$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | - |
| a (Å) | 15.893(2) |
| b (Å) | 7.199(1) |
| $c(\dot{A})$ | 12.812(2) |
| β(°) | 108.394(7) |
| $V(Å^3)$ | 1390.9(3) |
| Z | 4 |
| $d_{\rm calc} (\rm g \ \rm cm^{-3})$ | 1.545 |
| $\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$ | 1.165 |
| <i>F</i> (000) | 656 |
| T_{\min} | 0.2993 |
| T _{max} | 0.3951 |
| 2θ range (°) | 3.5-50 |
| Scan type | ω |
| Scan speed | Variable |
| Reflections measured | 2572 |
| Unique reflections | 2449 |
| Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ | 2086 |
| Parameters refined | 207 |
| Maximum, in $\Delta \rho$ (e Å ⁻³) | 0.361 |
| Miniimum, in $\Delta \rho$ (e Å ⁻³) | -0.301 |
| GOF on F^2 | 1.027 |
| R | 0.0345 |
| wR ₂ ^a | 0.0897 |
| | |

^a $wR_2 = \Sigma[w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \Sigma[w(F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}.$

2.8. X-ray crystal structure determination of 14

All X-ray data were collected using a Siemens P4 diffractometer equipped with a Mo X-ray tube and a graphite crystal monochromator. The orientation matrix and unit cell parameters were determined by leastsquares analyses of the setting angles of 33 reflections in the range $10.0^{\circ} < 2\theta < 25.0^{\circ}$. Three check reflections were measured every 100 reflections throughout data collection and showed no significant variation in intensity. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Decay corrections were also made. The intensity data were corrected empirically with ψ scan data. All calculations were carried out with use of the SHELX-97 programs. The unit cell parameters and systematic absences, h0l (l = 2n + 1) and 0k0 (k = 2n + 1)1), indicated unambiguously the $P2_1/c$ space group. The structure was solved by the direct method and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations, initially with isotropic and finally anisotropic temperature factors for all non-hydrogen atoms. All hydrogen atoms were located in the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. Crystal data, details of the data collection, and refinement parameters are listed in Table 1.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis

Compound 5 was prepared by treatment of pure anhydrous $TiCl_4$ with 3 in methylene dichloride, a well known and routine technique (Eq. (1)) [3,5–11,19d,22].



Compound **3** was obtained by reaction of **1** with *n*-BuLi and chlorotrimethylsilane. The overall yield from **1** to **5** was 77%. In the same way, **6** was synthesized with overall yield of 75%. Treatment of cyclopentadienes bearing phenyl group(s) with TiCl₄ yielded untraceable black precipitates that might be due to the reduction of TiCl₄ to TiCl₃. The phenyl group may help the electron transfer from the cyclopentadiene to titanium tetrachloride. Thus, the synthetic method de-



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of compound 14, with the atom-labeling scheme.

scribed above seems to be confined to the synthesis of titanium chloride complexes of alkyl substituted cyclopentadienyls.

Titanium chloride compounds 13-15 having a phenyl group(s) were synthesized by the method shown in Eq. (2).



Treatment of cyclopentadienes 7-9 with Ti(NMe₂)₄ resulted in the formation of amido complexes 10-12 [23]. Complexes 10-12 could be transformed to the corresponding trichloride complexes via reaction with appropriate chlorinating reagents. However, there was no general method to chlorinate all the amido complexes. Treatment of 10 with chlorotrimethylsilane at ambient temperature in toluene gave a product having two chloride groups. When chlorination of 10 was carried out in neat chlorotrimethylsilane at 50°C, the desired trichloride complex 13 was obtained. Compounds 14 and 15 were obtained when dichlorodimethylsilane was used as a chlorinating reagent.

3.2. X-ray analysis

Single crystals of 14 suitable for X-ray crystal structure determination were grown in hexane at room temperature. Fig. 1 gives an ORTEP view of the structure of 14 together with the atom labeling. Selected bond distances and angles for the coordination geometry of the titanium atom are given in Table 2.

The coordination polyhedron about the Ti atom is a distorted tetrahedron formed by three Cl atoms and one Cp ring. The Ti-Cp-ring centroid bond distance is

2.029 Å. The phenyl ring (C8–C13) is tilted with respect to the cyclopentadienyl ring with a dihedral angle of 44.49(8)°. The Ti–Cp-ring carbon distances indicate that the Ti–Cp-ring interaction is asymmetric. The distances are in the range 2.310–2.403 Å. For other (η^5 -RC₅H₄)TiCl₃, the Ti–Cp-ring carbon distance is longest for the carbon atom bonded to the substituent group [8,24]. In 14, C1 and C5 form longer distances with the Ti atom than the other Cp-ring carbon atoms. The reason why C5 forms a longer distance with the Ti atom is due to the tilt of the phenyl group toward C5. The Ti–Cl bond distances are in the typical range at Ti–C = 2.230 Å (average).

3.3. Polymerization

Compounds 5, 6, and 13–15 were tested for the polymerization of styrene with MAO as a cocatalyst. For comparison, $Cp*TiCl_3$ was also used as a polymerization catalyst under identical conditions. The results

are shown in Table 3. The trisubstituted cyclopentadienvl titanium compounds showed higher activities than Cp*TiCl₃. The highest activity was obtained with 14 at 75°C. At 50°C, molecular weights (M_w) of the polymers obtained with 5, 6 and Cp*TiCl₃ were 54 000-68 000 at 50°C but those for 13, 14 and 15 bearing phenyl substituents were 79 000, 40 000 and 25 000, respectively. The phenyl substituents on the cyclopentadienyl ligand in 13 increased the activity as seen in indenvl titanium trichloride complexes [8,11]. Titanium compounds having a phenyl group(s) on the cyclopentadienyl ring also showed higher activities than those having only alkyl groups on the cyclopentadienyl ring. Rausch et al. [11] explained the enhanced activities of phenyl-substituted indenyl complexes as the increased resonance stabilization. However, complexes 13, 14 and

| Table 2 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|-----------|-----|-----|------|--------|-----|----|----|
| Selected | bond | distances | (Å) | and | bond | angles | (°) | in | 14 |

15 showed quite different activities. The decreased activity for 15 was presumably due to the steric problem as in (indenyl)TiCl₃ derivatives [11]. Complex 15 was completely inactive at 100°C.

As the reaction temperature increased, the syndiotactic yields, measured by extraction with refluxing 2-butanone, decreased for all compounds. Up to 75°C, the syndiotactic yields remained above 90% except for 15 which showed low syndiotactic yield (76%) at 75°C. At 100°C, the syndiotactic yields for 6, 14 and Cp*TiCl₃ dropped to 73–77%, but those for 5 and 13 were still 88–90%.

Molecular weights decreased as the reaction temperature increased. Narrow molecular weight distributions $(M_w/M_n, 1.7-2.2)$ imply that the polymers were prepared by single-site catalysts. The values and trends of

| Ti-Cl3 | 2.2237(8) | Ti–Cl1 | 2.2258(8) | Ti–Cl2 | 2.2415(8) |
|------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Ti-C3 | 2.310(3) | Ti–C4 | 2.316(3) | Ti–C2 | 2.364(2) |
| Ti-C1 | 2.401(2) | Ti–C5 | 2.403(3) | C1–C2 | 1.428(4) |
| C1C5 | 1.429(3) | C1–C8 | 1.480(4) | C2–C3 | 1.409(4) |
| C2C6 | 1.500(4) | C3–C4 | 1.393(4) | C4–C5 | 1.415(4) |
| C5–C7 | 1.493(4) | Ti–Cp(1) ^a | 2.029 | | |
| Cl3-Ti-Cl1 | 101.40(3) | Cl3-Ti-Cl2 | 103.45(3) | | |
| Cl1-Ti-Cl2 | 101.75(3) | C2-C1-C5 | 107.9(2) | | |
| C2C1C8 | 126.5(2) | C5-C1-C8 | 125.5(2) | | |
| C3-C2-C1 | 107.4(2) | C3–C2–C6 | 124.5(3) | | |
| C1C2C6 | 128.1(3) | C4–C3–C2 | 108.7(3) | | |
| C3-C4-C5 | 109.2(2) | C4-C5-C1 | 106.7(2) | | |
| C4-C5-C7 | 125.4(3) | C1-C5-C7 | 127.7(3) | | |
| C9-C8-C1 | 121.2(2) | | | | |
| | | | | | |

^a Cp(1) refers to the computed centroid of the ring carbons C1–C5.

Table 3 Polymerization of styrene

| Catalyst | $T_{\rm p}$ (°C) | Yield (g) | Activity ^a | $T_{\rm m}$ (°C) | $M_{ m w}$ | $M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}$ | SY (%) ^b |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 5 | 50 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 272 | 60,000 | 1.9 | 93 |
| 5 | 75 | 1.17 | 2.8 | 264 | 31,000 | 2.2 | 89 |
| 5 | 100 | 1.22 | 2.9 | 253 | 11,000 | 1.8 | 88 |
| 6 | 50 | 0.59 | 1.4 | 272 | 54,000 | 2.0 | 99 |
| 6 | 75 | 0.87 | 2.1 | 265 | 21,000 | 2.0 | 96 |
| 6 | 100 | 0.94 | 2.3 | 249 | 7,500 | 1.7 | 73 |
| 13 | 50 | 0.89 | 2.1 | 271 | 79,000 | 1.9 | 95 |
| 13 | 75 | 1.22 | 2.9 | 269 | 37,000 | 2.1 | 90 |
| 13 | 100 | 1.22 | 2.9 | 255 | 14,000 | 1.9 | 90 |
| 14 | 50 | 1.25 | 3.0 | 269 | 40,000 | 2.4 | 96 |
| 14 | 75 | 1.63 | 3.9 | 262 | 20,000 | 2.0 | 92 |
| 14 | 100 | 1.50 | 3.6 | 242 | 8,200 | 1.7 | 75 |
| 15 | 50 | 0.75 | 1.8 | 267 | 25,000 | 2.2 | 94 |
| 15 | 75 | 0.72 | 1.7 | 255 | 10,000 | 1.7 | 76 |
| 15 | 100 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Cp*TiCl ₃ | 50 | 0.038 | 0.091 | 272 | 68,000 | 2.0 | |
| Cp*TiCl ₃ | 75 | 0.28 | 0.67 | 272 | 43,000 | 2.2 | 91 |
| Cp*TiCl ₃ | 100 | 0.44 | 1.1 | 269 | 18,000 | 2.0 | 77 |

^a 10^{6} g/(mol(Ti) × h).

^b SY (% of syndiotacticity) = (g of 2-butanone-insoluble PS)/(g of PS) \times 100.

 $T_{\rm m}$ with respect to the polymerization temperature were almost identical with the previously reported titanium trichloride complexes [8].

4. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for structural analysis have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC No. 158012 for compound 14. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http:// www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) (1999-1-122-001-5) and the KOSEF through the Center for Molecular Catalysis. J.W.H. and H.S. thank the Ministry of Education for the Brain Korea 21 Fellowship.

References

- N. Ishihara, T. Seimiya, M. Kuramoto, M. Uoi, Macromolecules 19 (1986) 2464.
- [2] N. Ishihara, M. Kuramoto, M. Uoi, Macromolecules 21 (1988) 3356.
- [3] M.S. Blais, J.C.W. Chien, M.D. Rausch, Organometallics 17 (1998) 3775.
- [4] J.C. Flores, T.E. Ready, J.C.W. Chien, M.D. Rausch, J. Organomet. Chem. 562 (1998) 11.
- [5] G. Tian, S. Xu, Y. Zhang, B. Wang, X. Zhou, J. Organomet. Chem. 558 (1998) 231.
- [6] Y. Kim, B.H. Koo, Y. Do, J. Organomet. Chem. 527 (1997) 155.

- [7] P. Foster, M.D. Rausch, J.C.W. Chien, J. Organomet. Chem. 527 (1997) 71.
- [8] N. Schneider, M.-H. Prosenc, H.-H. Brintzinger, J. Organomet. Chem. 545–546 (1997) 291.
- [9] A.A.H. Zeijden, C. Mattheis, R. Fröhlich, Organometallics 16 (1997) 2651.
- [10] T.E. Ready, J.C.W. Chien, M.D. Rausch, J. Organomet. Chem. 519 (1996) 21.
- [11] P. Foster, J.C.W. Chien, M.D. Rausch, Organometallics 15 (1996) 2404.
- [12] W. Kaminsky, S. Lenk, V. Scholz, H.W. Roesky, A. Herzog, Macromolecules 30 (1997) 7647.
- [13] Q. Wu, Z. Ye, S. Lin, Macromol. Chem. Phys. 198 (1997) 1823.
- [14] G. Xu, Macromolecules 31 (1998) 586.
- [15] T.E. Ready, R. Gurge, J.C.W. Chien, M.D. Rausch, Organometallics 17 (1998) 5236.
- [16] A. Grassi, S. Saccheo, A. Zambelli, F. Laschi, Macromolecules 31 (1998) 5588.
- [17] A. Grassi, C. Lamberti, A. Zambelli, I. Mingozzi, Macromolecules 30 (1997) 1884.
- [18] C. Pellecchia, D. Pappalardo, L. Olivia, A. Zambelli, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 6593.
- [19] (a) J.C. Flores, R. Hernandez, P. Royo, A. Butt, T.P. Spaniol, J. Okuda, J. Organomet. Chem. 594 (2000) 202. (b) T. Cuenca an P. Royo, Coord. Chem. Rev. 195 (1999) 447. (c) B.J. Grimmond, J.Y. Corey, N.P. Rath, Organometallics 18 (1999) 404. (d) B. Royo, P. Royo, L.M. Cadenas, J. Organomet. Chem. 551 (1998) 293. (e) Y.L. Qian, J.L. Huang, J.M. Yang, A.S.C. Chan, W.C. Chen, X.P. Chen, G.S. Li, X.L. Lin, Q.C. Yang, J. Organomet. Chem. 547 (1997) 263. (f) T. Cuenca, C. Montejano, P. Royo, J. Organomet. Chem. 514 (1996) 93. (g) R.P. Hughes, J.R. Lomprey, A.L. Rheingold, B.S. Haggerty, G.P.A. Yap, J. Organomet. Chem. 517 (1996) 89.
- [20] T. Takahashi, Z. Xi, M. Kotora, C. Xi, K. Nakajima, Tetrahedron Lett. 37 (1996) 7521.
- [21] (a) B.Y. Lee, H. Moon, Y.K. Chung, N. Jeong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116 (1994) 2163. (b) B.Y. Lee, J.W. Han, Y.K. Chung, S.W. Lee, J. Organomet. Chem. 587 (1999) 181.
- [22] S. Ciruelos, T. Cuenca, P. Gomez-Sal, A. Manzanero, P. Royo, Organometallics 14 (1995) 177.
- [23] (a) P. Jutzi, T. Pedeker, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, J. Organomet. Chem. 533 (1997) 237. (b) A.K. Hughes, S.M.B. Marsh, J.A.K. Howard, P.S. Ford, J. Organomet. Chem. 528 (1997) 195.
- [24] S.G. Mkoyan, Z.G. Aliev, I.F. Urazovskii, L.O. Atovmyan, I.E. Nifantev, K.A. Butakov, Russ. Chem. Bull. 45 (1996) 2154.